

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/561,658	Applicant(s) HAHN ET AL.	
	Examiner THOMAS A. CONWAY	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) THOMAS A. CONWAY.

(3) _____.

(2) Philip Jensen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 1 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant to clarify certain claim language in claim 1 and in the case the Examiner interpreted the claim correctly, suggest necessary edits to place the application in condition for allowance through an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas A. Conway/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)